EFFECTIVE STRATEGIES FOR ALTERNATIVE SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT©

A Practice Guide by the

National Dropout Prevention Center

Introduction by Dr. Bill Daggett and Ray McNulty

Dr. Sandy Addis
Dr. Kathie Greer
Lynn Dunlap

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

by **Dr. Bill Daggett, Founder and Chairman, Successful Practices Network,** and
Ray McNulty, President, Successful Practices Network and National Dropout Prevention Center

In many school districts, and in some states, alternative schools are ground zero for dropout prevention and efforts to meet the needs of at-risk students. Alternative schools serve disproportionately high numbers of students with multiple risk factors, students of color, students of poverty, and trauma-impacted students (Gordon, 2017; Kim & Taylor, 2010; McNulty & Roseboro, 2009). Alternative schools typically have lower graduation rates, are often expensive and challenging to operate, and rank lower on accountability measures than other schools (Fresques, Shaw, Vogell, & Pierce, 2017; Sliwka, 2008). Improving student achievement in these settings is of increasing importance as districts are now accountable for closing achievement gaps among underperforming subgroups that often populate alternative schools.

Since 1986, the National Dropout Prevention Center has studied, analyzed, and consulted with hundreds of alternative schools of varying types and has reached three conclusions.

- Some but not all alternative schools produce surprisingly high levels of academic gains, behavioral improvement, and graduation outcomes for even the most at-risk students.
- There are strategies, approaches, and solutions that, if implemented properly, will significantly improve the effectiveness of existing alternative schools.
- When districts improve effectiveness and outcomes of alternative schools, system accountability ratings improve.

In ***Effective Strategies for Alternative School Improvement***, the National Dropout Prevention Center offers a workable practice guide that school, district, and state leaders can use to analyze, modify, and improve their alternative schools, both to better serve their most at-risk students and to significantly improve graduation outcomes.

Dr. Bill Daggett, Founder and Chairman
Successful Practices Network (SPN)

Ray McNulty, President
Successful Practices Network (SPN) and
National Dropout Prevention Center (NDPC)

COMMON CHALLENGES

Alternative schools and programs are many and varied but face common challenges.

Alternative schools and programs exist in almost every school district in the United States. Small school districts sometimes share alternative schools; many districts operate their own alternative school; and large urban districts often operate multiple alternative schools. These schools and programs typically serve our most at-risk youth, often have lower academic success rates and lower graduation rates than other schools, and are often the most difficult schools to manage, lead, and staff.

The terms *alternative school* and *alternative program* are often used interchangeably, though there are technical differences. Alternative school in some contexts refers to a physically separate facility or campus while alternative program refers to an alternative setting housed within a traditional school facility (Carver, Lewis, & Tice, 2010). States and/or local school systems often have the option to designate alternative units as *schools* or *programs,* depending on whether student measures such as enrollment, attendance, academic progress, graduation rates, and other metrics are quantified and reported separate from or within the metrics of traditional schools (Porowski, O'Conner, & Luo, 2014). These varying definitions likely account for the wide swings in the nationally reported numbers of alternative schools and alternative school students in recent years.

"These schools and programs typically serve our most at-risk youth, often have lower academic success rates and lower graduation rates than other schools, and are often the most difficult schools to manage, lead, and staff."

A 2018 study using a strict definition of alternative school placed the number of alternative schools in America at just over 5,000 (Momentum, 2018). The National Dropout Prevention Center estimates that an additional 5,000 alternative programs exist outside this count, which places the total number of alternative schools and programs at around 10,000. According to a 2017 Grad Nation report, 6% of the nation's high schools were designated as alternative schools (DePaoli, Balfanz, Bridgeland, Atwell, & Pierce, 2017).

While an important understanding, the distinction between alternative schools and programs is not significant for the purpose of improving effectiveness and student outcomes. Whether identified as a school or a program, these units have facilities, budgets, staff, policies, climate, student services, and instructional delivery methods. Further, these units vary widely in location, purpose, programing, and approach to serving students. Most important, whether a school or a program, the effectiveness of alternative units varies widely in terms of behavioral gains, academic achievement, graduation outcomes, and return on investment (Deeds & DePaoli, 2017). For these reasons, strategies for improvement are equally applicable to all types of alternative units, whether school or program, and the term *alternative school* will be used in this practice guide as referring to the broader category of all K-12 alternative units.

"The effectiveness of alternative units varies widely in terms of behavioral gains, academic achievement, graduation outcomes, and return on investment."

The National Center for Education Statistics defines an alternative school as a public elementary or secondary school that addresses the needs of students that typically cannot be met in a regular school, provides nontraditional education, serves as an adjunct to a regular school, or falls outside the categories of regular, special education, or vocational education (Carver, Lewis, & Tice, 2010). The Encyclopedia of Children's Health website defines an alternative school as an educational setting designed to accommodate educational, behavioral, and/or medical needs of children and adolescents that are not adequately addressed in a traditional school environment ("Alternative School," n.d., para.1).

Many of the nation's alternative schools were established in the 1970s (Lange & Sletten, 2002). They currently exist in a wide variety of forms and models ranging from disciplinary boot-camp models to self-paced individualized instructional models to therapeutic behavioral modification programs to virtual credit recovery models (Raywid, 1994). Among 5,104 alternative education campuses that were identified in 2018 using a relatively strict federal definition, 79% were operated by traditional public school districts and 21% were operated as some type of public or private charter school. Half served high school students only and half served a mix of students from multiple school levels. A majority of alternative schools are operated, staffed, and managed as the other schools within districts are while a significant

number are outsourced to and operated by private sector vendors in partnership with local school districts (Momentum, 2018).

"For a variety of reasons, many alternative schools serve disproportionately high numbers of students of color, students of poverty, students with disabilities, and males."

Alternative schools serve a wide range of students with varying risk factors and exist to achieve a wide variety of purposes and outcomes. A study by the National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance (NCEE) concluded that students in alternative programs are often there because of academic or emotional challenges, including poor attendance, suspension, expulsion, family stress, emotional difficulties, learning disabilities, poor grades, disruptive classroom behavior or pregnancy (Porowski, O'Conner, & Luo, 2014). In a recent study of trauma's impact on behavior and learning (Gailer, Addis, & Dunlap, 2018), the National Dropout Prevention Center concluded that the majority of alternative school students are significantly and negatively impacted by childhood traumas. For a variety of reasons, many alternative schools serve disproportionately high numbers of students of color, students of poverty, students with disabilities, and males. While the mission of alternative schools is ideally to better meet the needs of these most at-risk students, a common assumption is that alternative schools exist as an alternate placement for problematic and disruptive students so that they may be removed from traditional schools and not disrupt or detract from the learning of others (McNulty & Roseboro, 2009; Vogell & Fresques, 2017).

It is no surprise that alternative schools require more human and financial resources than traditional schools, cost more to operate on a per pupil basis, present more challenges to manage, and have lower levels of student achievement and lower graduation rates. It is also no surprise that alternative schools have problems with image, both in the community and within the school system, are harder to appropriately staff, and often give rise to a variety of challenges, difficulties, and accountability problems for school leaders. While there are alternative schools that are well resourced, have excellent facilities, and are staffed with highly skilled and specialized educators, there are also alternative schools that receive only left-over resources, are housed in the worst of facilities, and are staffed by educators who were unable to succeed or to find employment in traditional schools.

"If districts can improve the student outcomes of their alternative schools by making those schools more efficient and effective, they are likely to achieve significantly higher system-wide graduation rates and system accountability ratings."

Alternative schools account for a small but high-impact percentage of the student population in most traditional public school systems and typically represent the highest concentration of students least likely to graduate. Alternative schools typically have the lowest accountability ratings among the schools of their host district and often represent a significant expense relative to the number of students served and the number of graduates produced. If districts can improve the student outcomes of their alternative schools by making those schools more efficient and effective, they are likely to achieve significantly higher system-wide graduation rates and system accountability ratings.

How can alternative schools be improved?

While many alternative schools across the nation struggle with, and sometimes even accept, dismally low graduation rates, some have managed to achieve high levels of effectiveness and significantly improved student outcomes. In 2012, McClarin Success Academy High School, a relatively large alternative school in Fulton County (Atlanta), Georgia, reported a four-year graduation rate of 19% but was able to increase that rate to 75% by 2017 (*K-12 Public Schools Report Card*, 2012; 2017). If some alternative schools such as McClarin Success Academy are able to become more effective and increase graduation outcomes while others work hard, struggle, and are not able to achieve similar gains, what is the difference and what strategies and approaches can be identified and shared to make all alternative schools more effective? More specifically, what areas of operation and practice should school leaders consider as they undertake to improve alternative schools?

"What areas of operation and practice should school leaders consider as they undertake to improve alternative schools?"

From years of research, experience, and practice, the National Dropout Prevention Center has created ***Effective Strategies for Alternative School Improvement***, a guide for leaders and policy makers to assess and improve the graduation outcomes of alternative schools. A number of resources and findings were utilized by National Dropout Prevention Center to develop this practice guide. Since 1986, the National Dropout Prevention Center (NDPC) has analyzed and assessed the effectiveness of over 100 alternative schools and has worked directly with system leaders to improve those schools. Since the 2007 launch of NDPC's ***15 Effective Strategies for Dropout Prevention***, available at www.dropoutprevention.org, those strategies have been adapted and applied to alternative schools and numerous lessons have been learned from that experience. NDPC has partnered with the National Alternative Education Association (NAEA) in a variety of initiatives, regularly utilizes the NAEA's ***Exemplary Practices in Alternative Education: Indicators of Quality Programming*** in its work with alternative schools, and considered the NAEA's Exemplary Practices in development of this guidance. Because the impact of trauma is common among alternative students, NDPC also utilized Trauma-Skilled Schools™ research and findings to develop this guidance. Additionally, Dr. Bill Daggett's proven Rigor, Relevance, and Relationship Framework for School Improvement is adapted and incorporated into this guidance for improving alternative schools.

Effective Strategies for Alternative School Improvement identifies five Improvement Domains and thirty-two Focus Areas that should be considered by school leaders and policy makers desiring to improve existing alternative schools. Guiding Questions are provided for each Focus Area that may be used by alternative educators, school leaders, and policy makers to assess effectiveness of alternative schools, to identify areas for improvement, and to guide improvement action steps. Additionally, ***Effective Strategies for Alternative School Improvement*** has been field tested by NDPC consultants and project teams as a framework to assess effectiveness of alternative schools and as an outline for assessment reports that NDPC provides to client school systems to improve their alternative schools.

Domains, Focus Areas, and Guiding Questions of Alternative School Assessment

Improvement Domain I: Governance, Practices, and Policies		
Item	**Focus Area**	**Guiding Questions**
1	Systemic Approach	To what extent is the alternative school understood and "owned" by other elements of the school system?
2	Philosophy and Mission	Does the philosophy and mission of the alternative school clearly focus on student

		success and on the desired student outcomes? Are the philosophy and mission of the alternative school evident in the practices and conduct of the alternative program? Is the school's philosophy and mission aligned to the philosophy and mission of the school system but appropriately unique to the alternative setting?
3	Referral and Entry	Are referral criteria and processes effective and do they contribute to positive school entry and early stage success? Does the entry process effectively transmit important information from the feeder school to the alternative school? Is sufficient information about school function and success criteria communicated effectively to incoming students and families?
4	Flexibility and Options	Do the school's structure, policies, and practices allow sufficient flexibility and options to accommodate the circumstances and challenges of at-risk students? Are alternative school leaders allowed sufficient autonomy to determine intake practices, programming, and exit practices that maximize effectiveness and desired outcomes?
5	Policies, Rules, and Practices	Do the policies, rules, and practices that apply to or impact the alternative school contribute to desired program outcomes? Are there policies, practices, or rules that have unintended consequences for the alternative school or that negatively impact desired student outcomes?
6	Exit and Completion	Do students have incentives for return to home schools or for program completion that motivate desired behaviors and achievement? Are students who are likely to succeed in the alternative school but unlikely to succeed in the traditional school allowed to continue enrollment to completion?
7	Exit Transition	Is return to the home or traditional school planned, scripted, and structured to minimize impediments to success and to maximize desired behavioral and academic outcomes?
8	Improvement Planning	Is there an actionable plan in place to improve the effectiveness of the alternative

		school? Does the plan include progress metrics and accountability steps? Is the plan embraced by those responsible for its implementation?
9	Program Evaluation	Are outcome data and other measures of effectiveness used to monitor and continuously improve the school? Are evaluation findings and program outcomes periodically reported to system decision makers?
10	Prioritization	Within the overall school system context, does system leadership and governance appropriately prioritize and support the alternative school to achieve desired student outcomes?

Improvement Domain II: Culture and Climate		
Item	**Area**	**Guiding Questions**
11	Internal Culture and Climate	What is the culture and climate of and within the alternative school? Are students' perceptions of the school's culture and climate consistent with or different from that of staff and leadership? Does the culture and climate of the alternative school foster student success and contribute to desired student outcomes?
12	Relationships and Connections	Are all students afforded positive relationships with responsible staff members that foster desired behaviors and academic engagement?
13	Security	Do students feel physically and emotionally safe and secure in the alternative setting to the extent that they desire to attend, engage, participate, and achieve?
14	Achievement	Do all students attain a sense of achievement and accomplishment early in program enrollment? Is that sense of achievement and accomplishment maintained throughout enrollment?
15	Autonomy	Are students given appropriate choices and options within the school environment and within the instructional program that motivate and foster engagement and self-confidence?
16	Fulfillment/Service Learning	Are students engaged in altruistic activities and service to others that are linked to and that reinforce academic learning and that generate a sense of personal fulfillment?

Item	Area	Guiding Questions
17	Student Perception and Motivation	Does the instructional program and school climate generate positive student perceptions and motivation that are sufficient to produce desired behaviors and academic outcomes?

Improvement Domain III: Instruction and Effective Practices		
Item	**Area**	**Guiding Questions**
18	Instructional Program	Are the methods of instructional delivery varied and appropriate for the student population served? Do the methods of instructional delivery generate sufficient levels of student engagement and achievement?
19	Rigor	Is the instruction challenging for students? Are there high expectations for achievement and mastery that are equivalent to those of the traditional schools?
20	Relevance	Is instruction relevant to the interests of students and to the careers and next levels of instruction that students aspire to?
21	Technology	Is instructional technology utilized to maximize student engagement and instructional effectiveness?
22	Remediation and Recovery	Do instructional practices consider and address the academic deficiencies of individual students? Is instruction structured and delivered such that students recover credits and grade levels needed to accelerate progress toward graduation?
23	Mentoring and Tutoring	To what extent are mentoring opportunities provided to students of the school/program and are they effective to produce desired outcomes? Are students tutored or provided tutor-like services to support academic achievement?
24	Career and Technical Education	To what extent are alternative students engaged in career and technical education?
25	Extra-Curricular Options	Are students in the program provided with, or allowed to participate in, extra-curricular activities that are likely to motivate them and positively engage them with school?

Improvement Domain IV: External Factors		
Item	**Area**	**Guiding Questions**
26	Community Engagement	To what extent is the alternative school understood, valued, and supported by the community?

Item	Area	Guiding Questions
27	Family Engagement	To what extent are families, parents, and guardians of alternative students informed, engaged with, and supportive of the program, the staff, and contributing to student success?
28	External Supports/Resources	Are services, resources, and supports that are external to the school system such as those from the community and external agencies accessed by the alternative school and effectively provided to best meet student needs?
Improvement Domain V: Resources		
Item	**Area**	**Guiding Questions**
29	Internal Supports/Resources	Are supports and resources within the school system but external to the alternative school readily available and provided to best meet student needs?
30	Staffing	Is the school adequately staffed to achieve desired outcomes? Are staff members carefully selected and assigned to match individual and professional strengths and skills to best meet program and student needs?
31	Professional Development	Is the ongoing training and support of staff members sufficient and appropriate to foster their success with at-risk and alternative students?
32	Facilities	Are the physical facilities and equipment of the school adequate, sufficient, and appropriate to allow for the other essential elements of program success to produce desired student outcomes? Are there modifications of facilities and related supports that are possible within existing resources that would likely contribute to improved program outcomes?

The National Dropout Prevention Center shares this practice guide at no cost to schools and educators and encourages use of this document for assessing and improving alternative schools. The National Dropout Prevention Center is available to answer questions regarding use of this guidance. The National Dropout Prevention Center functions as a not-for-profit educational design studio serving schools, districts, and states across the nation and is available to offer training, consultation,

and support to schools and districts desiring to improve the effectiveness of alternative schools.

Next Steps

For additional information on how to utilize *Effective Strategies for Alternative School Improvement,* contact the National Dropout Prevention Center by email at ndpc@dropoutprevention.org or by phone at 864-642-6372.

References

Alternative School. (n.d.) In *The Encyclopedia of Children's Health*. Retrieved from http://www.healthofchildren.com/A/Alternative-School.html

Carver, P., Lewis, L., & Tice, P. (2010). *Alternative schools and programs for public school students at risk of educational failure: 2007–08*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Retrieved from https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2010/2010026.pdf

Deeds, C., & DePaoli, J. (2017). *Measuring success: Accountability for alternative education* (Policy Brief). Report by the American Youth Policy Forum and Civic Enterprises. Retrieved from http://www.aypf.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/Measuring-Succes_Accountability-for-Alt.-Ed.-.pdf

DePaoli, J., Balfanz, R., Bridgeland, J., Atwell, M., & Ingram, S. (2017). *Building a grad nation: Progress and challenge in raising high school graduation rates*. Report by Civic Enterprises Everyone Graduates Center at the School of Education at Johns Hopkins University, Alliance for Excellent Education, and America's Promise Alliance. Retrieved from https://gradnation.americaspromise.org/report/2017-building-grad-nation-report

Fresques, H., Shaw, A., Vogell, H., & Pierce, O. (2017). Where alternative school enrollment may signal problems. *ProPublica*. Retrieved from https://projects.propublica.org/graphics/alt-schools

Gailer, J., Addis, S., & Dunlap, L. (2018). *Improving school outcomes for trauma-impacted students*. Anderson, SC: National Dropout Prevention Center. Retrieved from http://dropoutprevention.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Trauma-Skilled-Schools-Model-Final-I.pdf

Gordon, N. (2017). Race, poverty, and interpreting overrepresentation in special education. *Brookings*. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/research/race-poverty-and-interpreting-overrepresentation-in-special-education/

K-12 Public Schools Report Card. (2017). Georgia Governor's Office of Student Achievement. Retrieved from https://gosa.georgia.gov/report-card-dashboards-data/report-card

K-12 Public Schools Report Card. (2012). Georgia Governor's Office of Student Achievement. Retrieved from https://gosa.georgia.gov/report-card-dashboards-data/report-card

Kim, J-H., & Taylor, K. (2010). Rethinking alternative education to break the cycle of educational inequality and inequity. *The Journal of Educational Research, 101*(4), 207-219. doi:10.3200/JOER.101.4.207-219

12

Lange, C., & Sletten, S. (2002). *Alternative education: A brief history and research synthesis*. Retrieved from http://alternatyvusisugdymas.lt/uploads/2009/12/alternative_ed_history.pdf

McNulty, C., & Roseboro, D. (2009) "I'm not really *that* bad": Alternative school students, stigma, and identity politics. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, *42*(4), 412-427. doi:10.1080/10665680903266520

Momentum Strategy and Research. (2018, October). *Alternative school options across the US.* Retrieved from https://img1.wsimg.com/blobby/go/e39a7c38-400f-4e92-b5c7-f84ce1836ba2/downloads/1cr0n9msg_679421.pdf

Porowski, A., O'Conner, R., & Luo, J. L. (2014). *How do states define alternative education?* (REL 2014–038). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance. Retrieved from http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs

Raywid, M. (1994). Synthesis of research / alternative schools: The state of the art. *Educational Leadership*, *52*(1), 26-31. Retrieved from http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/sept94/vol52/num01/Synthesis-of-Research-~-Alternative-Schools@-The-State-of-the-Art.aspx

Sliwka, A. (2008). The contribution of alternative education. In *Innovating to Learn, Learning to Innovate*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. doi:10.1787/9789264047983-6-en

Vogell, H., & Fresques, H. (2017). Alternative education: Using charter schools to hide dropouts and game the system. *ProPublica*. Retrieved from https://www.propublica.org/article/alternative-education-using-charter-schools-hide-dropouts-and-game-system

About the Authors

Dr. Bill Daggett is Founder of the International Center for Leadership in Education (ICLE) and Founder and Chair of the Successful Practices Network (SPN).

Ray McNulty is President of the Successful Practices Network and President of the National Dropout Prevention Center (NDPC).

Dr. Sandy Addis is Executive Director of the National Dropout Prevention Center.

Dr. Kathie Greer is Director of Alternative Education for the School District of Greenville County (SC).

Lynn Dunlap is Director for Research and Publications for the National Dropout Prevention Center.

National Dropout Prevention Center
713 East Greenville Street, Suite D #108
Anderson, SC 29621
(864) 642-6372
www.dropoutprevention.org

Successful Practices Network
1585 NY Route 146
Rexford, NY 12148
(518) 723-2063
www.spnetwork.org